



1 Defendants move to seal the Cunningham Declaration,  
2 Docket Number 32-3, on the ground that defendants inadvertently  
3 included unredacted copies of documents containing plaintiff's  
4 identifying information. Defendants have submitted a redacted  
5 version of the declaration. (Docket No. 33.) The only redacted  
6 information is plaintiff's date of birth and Social Security  
7 number in Exhibits 9, 12, and 21. (Id. Exs. 9, 12, 21.)

8 While a party seeking to seal a judicial record bears  
9 the burden of overcoming a strong presumption in favor of public  
10 access, defendants meet this presumption here. Kamakana v. City  
11 & County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178 (9th Cir. 2006). Local  
12 Rule 140 permits parties to seal Social Security numbers and  
13 dates of birth. E.D. Cal. L.R. 140(a)(iii)-(iv). This  
14 information is not relevant to the dispute, so the public does  
15 not have an interest in this information. The parties have an  
16 interest in keeping this information private and defendants  
17 submitted a redacted declaration. Accordingly, the court will  
18 grant defendant's motion to seal Docket Number 32-3.

19 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendants' request to  
20 seal the Cunningham Declaration at Docket Number 32-3 be, and the  
21 same hereby is, GRANTED.

22 Dated: October 13, 2016

23   
24 WILLIAM B. SHUBB  
25 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
26  
27  
28