# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 

## LIUDMYLA IEGOROVA,

Plaintiff,
v.

FAIRWOOD APARTMENT,
Defendant.

No. 2:15-cv-1651 MCE CKD PS

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se and in forma pauperis. In this action, plaintiff alleges claims against the management of an apartment complex. The complaint fails to set forth a basis for subject matter jurisdiction.

By order filed August 20, 2015, plaintiff was ordered to show cause no later than September 1, 2015 why this action should not be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Plaintiff has not filed a response to the order to show cause. There being no evident basis for subject matter jurisdiction, the court will recommend that this action be dismissed.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § $636(\mathrm{~b})(1)$. Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

Dated: September 2, 2015
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