1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	JAMES C. MAXEY,	No. 2:15-cv-01656	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	ORDER DECLARING PLAINTIFF JAMES MAXEY A "VEXATIOUS LITIGANT"	
14	MAYOR KEVIN JOHNSON; SHERIFF SCOTT JONES; CITY OF		
15	SACRAMENTO; PRESIDENT BARACK OBAMA; U.S. ATTORNEY BENJAMIN		
16	WAGNER; and DOES 1 THROUGH 99,		
17	Defendants.		
18			
19	Over a period of less than two years, Plaintiff James Maxey has filed 172 lawsuits in this Court. The vast majority of these cases have been summarily dismissed, due to Mr. Maxey's implausible allegations that, inter alia, he has been subjected		
20			
21			
22			
23	to "satellite microchip implant technology" by various		
24 25	governmental actors. California Code of Civil Procedure ("CCP") § 391 et seq. (as		
25 26			
26 27	adopted in Local Rule 151(b)) provides that the Court "may, on		
27	its own motion enter a prefiling order which prohibits a		
20		1	

vexatious litigant from filing any new litigation [in this Court] 1 in propria persona without first obtaining leave of the presiding 2 3 . . . judge" of this Court. Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 391.7(a). As 4 defined elsewhere in the CCP, a "vexatious litigant" is a person 5 who, "[i]n the immediately preceding seven-year period has 6 commenced, prosecuted, or maintained in propria persona at least 7 five litigations other than in a small claims court that have been (i) finally determined adversely to the person or (ii) 8 9 unjustifiably permitted to remain pending at least two years 10 without having been brought to trial or hearing." Cal. Civ. 11 Proc. Code § 391(b)(1).

Based on a review of Mr. Maxey's filings on the Court's electronic filing database (CM-ECF), the Court concludes that Mr. Maxey meets the definition of a "vexatious litigant" under CCP § 391(b)(1), as well over 100 of his cases have been brought, and dismissed, within the past two years. Thus, pursuant to Local Rule 151(b) CCP § 391 et seq., the Court hereby designates James Maxey a "vexatious litigant."

19 This order constitutes a "prefiling order" as defined in CCP 20 § 391.7, and will operate to prevent Mr. Maxey from filing any 21 new litigation in this Court without first obtaining leave of 22 Chief Judge Morrison England. Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 391.7(a). 23 Pursuant to CCP § 391.7(b), any future filings by Mr. Maxey will 24 only be permitted if "it appears that the litigation has merit 25 and has not been filed for the purposes of harassment or delay." Pursuant to CCP § 391.7(c), the Clerk is directed to "not file 26 any litigation presented by [Mr. Maxey] unless [he] first obtains 27 28 an order from [Chief Judge England] permitting the filing." Cal.

2

1	Civ. Proc. Code § 391.7(c).
2	This order does not have retroactive effect, and Mr. Maxey's
3	currently-pending actions will proceed accordingly.
4	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	Dated: August 10, 2015
7	Joh a Mende
8	OHN A. MENDEZ, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	3