

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BRYAN DAMON PATTERSON,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
ANDREW GANZ et al.,  
Defendants.

No. 2:15-cv-1784 DAD P

ORDER AND  
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

By an order filed September 8, 2015, plaintiff was ordered to file an in forma pauperis affidavit or pay the appropriate filing fee within thirty days and was cautioned that failure to do so would result dismissal of this action without prejudice. The thirty-day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court’s order and has not filed an in forma pauperis affidavit or paid the appropriate filing fee.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to randomly assign a United States District Judge to this action.

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.


These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings

1 and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the  
2 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951  
3 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

4 Dated: October 19, 2015

5

6 DAD:9  
7 patt1784.fifp



---

DALE A. DROZD  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28