UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
DANIEL T. SCHAEFER,	No. 2:15-cv-1802 CKD (TEMP) P
Plaintiff,	
v.	<u>ORDER</u>
T. ROWLAND, et al.,	
Defendants.	
Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a civil rights action, has requested	
appointment of counsel.	
The United States Supreme Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to require	
counsel to represent indigent prisoners in § 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490	
U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In certain exceptional circumstances, the district court may request the	
voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d	
1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990).	
The test for exceptional circumstances requires the court to evaluate the plaintiff's	
likelihood of success on the merits and the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in	
light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. See Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328,	
1331 (9th Cir. 1986); <u>Weygandt v. Look</u> , 718	F.2d 952, 954 (9th Cir. 1983). Circumstances
common to most prisoners, such as lack of le	gal education and limited law library access, do not
	FOR THE EASTERN DANIEL T. SCHAEFER, Plaintiff, v. T. ROWLAND, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding p appointment of counsel. The United States Supreme Court has counsel to represent indigent prisoners in § 19 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In certain exceptional voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 22 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); <u>Wood v. Housew</u> The test for exceptional circumstances likelihood of success on the merits and the ab light of the complexity of the legal issues inv 1331 (9th Cir. 1986); <u>Weygandt v. Look</u> , 718

1	establish exceptional circumstances that would warrant a request for voluntary assistance of	
2	counsel. In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances.	
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's November 5, 2015 and	
4	December 3, 2015 motions for the appointment of counsel (ECF Nos. 11 and 13) are denied.	
5	Dated: December 15, 2015 Caroh U. Delany	
6	CAROLYN K. DELANEY	
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
8		
9	/scha1802.31	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	
	\angle	