UNITED STAT	'ES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
REGINALD BLOUNT,	No. 2:15-cv-1809 KJM AC P
Petitioner,	
v.	<u>ORDER</u>
J. SOTO,	
Respondent.	
Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed a petition for	
a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner has moved for entry of default	
and summary judgment (ECF No. 64) and for injunctive relief in the form of release from prison	
(ECF No. 68). The motions are, in essence, requests for an order granting the petition for writ of	
habeas corpus.	
In his request for default, petitioner an	rgues that his petition should be granted because
respondent has not answered the petition. EC	CF No. 64. However, respondent did in fact file an
answer (ECF No. 46), to which petitioner res	ponded (ECF No. 50). The request for injunctive
relief asks the court to grant the petition and	order petitioner's release based on Ground Four, in
which he argues that new evidence exists of l	his actual innocence. ECF No. 68. The court will
rule on the petition in due course and order as	ny relief appropriate at that time.
////	
	1
	FOR THE EASTERN REGINALD BLOUNT, Petitioner, v. J. SOTO, Respondent. Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C and summary judgment (ECF No. 64) and for (ECF No. 68). The motions are, in essence, re habeas corpus. In his request for default, petitioner as respondent has not answered the petition. EC answer (ECF No. 46), to which petitioner ress relief asks the court to grant the petition and which he argues that new evidence exists of 1 rule on the petition in due course and order a

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's motions for an order granting
2	his petition and ordering his release from custody (ECF Nos. 64, 68) are DENIED.
3	DATED: September 24, 2019
4	allon Clane
5	ALLISON CLAIRE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2