1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RAUL GONZALEZ,	No. 2:15-cv-1815 JAM CKD PS
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	JUAN DOMINGUEZ,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se and in forma pauperis. In this action, plaintiff	
18	alleges claims for malpractice against his former workers compensation attorney. The complaint	
19	fails to set forth a basis for subject matter jurisdiction.	
20	By order filed September 2, 2015, plaintiff was ordered to show cause why this action	
21	should not be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Plaintiff has responded to the	
22	order to show cause. However, plaintiff's res	sponse fails to set forth a proper basis for subject
23	matter jurisdiction and makes readily apparent that plaintiff alleges only state law claims. There	
24	being no evident basis for subject matter jurisdiction, the court will recommend that this action be	
25	dismissed.	
26	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for lack of	
27	subject matter jurisdiction.	
28	/////	
		1

1	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
2	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
3	after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written	
4	objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned	
5	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections	
6	within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v.	
7	<u>Ylst</u> , 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).	
8	Dated: September 14, 2015 Carop U. Delany	
9	CAROLYN K. DELANEY	
10	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
11		
12	4 gonzalez1815.nosmj.57	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	