1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	00000
12	STACY L. WEBER and TIMOTHY NO. 2:15-CV-01829 WBS
13	J. WEBER,
14	Plaintiffs,
15	v. ORDER RE: MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS
16	TMG LOGISTICS, INC., and DAVINDER SINGH MINHAS,
17	Defendants.
18	
19	
20	00000
21	Before the court is defendants' Motion for Attorneys'
22	Fees and Costs filed August 9, 2018. (Docket No. 185.) Under
23	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37(c)(2), a court may award
24	reasonable expenses, including attorney's fees, where "a party
25	fails to admit what is requested" under a request for admission
26	"if the requesting party later proves the matter true."
27	The court must award such expenses unless (1) the request was
28	properly objected to, (2) "the admission sought was of no 1

substantial importance," (3) "the party failing to admit had a 1 2 reasonable ground to believe that it might prevail on the 3 matter," or (4), "there was other good reason for the failure to 4 admit." Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(c)(2). "In determining whether a 5 party reasonably believed it might prevail for purposes of Rule 6 37(c)(2), the true test is 'not whether [the] party prevailed at 7 trial but rather whether [it] acted reasonably in believing that [it] might prevail.'" Paradise Nw., Inc. v. Randhawa, No. 2:09-8 cv-02027-MCE-DAD, 2014 WL 3867426, at *2 (E.D. Cal. Aug. 6, 2014) 9 10 (citation omitted).

11 Defendants claim that they are entitled to attorney's 12 fees and expenses under Rule 37(c)(2) based on plaintiff's 13 failure to admit that she did not sustain lost wages or loss of 14 earning capacity. The court agrees that the evidence at trial 15 tended to show that plaintiff did not in fact sustain lost wages 16 or loss of earning capacity as a result of the accident, as found 17 by the jury. Nevertheless, plaintiff did produce some evidence 18 tending to show that she would or could have earned more had she 19 not suffered the alleged injuries she claims were caused by the 20 accident at issue in this case. In essence, plaintiff argued 21 that while her family's income may not have decreased in the 22 years after the accident, it would have increased more had she 23 been able to continue with her real estate investing work fully 24 as she had before the accident, or if she was not precluded from 25 doing other work in the future she may have otherwise been 26 qualified to perform.

27 The court notes that the determination of whether
28 plaintiff suffered lost income or loss of earning capacity hinged

2

1	in part on the jury's credibility determination as to plaintiff
2	and plaintiff's witnesses, who testified that she was unable to
3	continue her prior real estate work after the accident due to her
4	physical and mental condition. Plaintiff also supported her loss
5	of income and loss of earning capacity claims with expert
6	testimony. Under these circumstances, plaintiff's evidence,
7	while ultimately not persuasive, was a sufficient basis for a
8	reasonable belief that she would prevail at trial on her lost
9	wages and loss of earning capacity claims. 1 Accordingly, the
10	court will deny defendants' request for attorney's fees and
11	expenses.
12	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendants' Motion for
13	Attorneys' Fees and Costs (Docket No. 185) be, and the same
14	hereby is, DENIED.
15	Dated: October 9, 2018 Million & Ahubt
15 16	WILLIAM B. SHUBB
16	WILLIAM B. SHUBB
16 17	WILLIAM B. SHUBB
16 17 18	WILLIAM B. SHUBB
16 17 18 19	WILLIAM B. SHUBB
16 17 18 19 20	WILLIAM B. SHUBB
16 17 18 19 20 21	WILLIAM B. SHUBB
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WILLIAM B. SHUBB
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE