1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ALEJANDRO PRADO,	No. 2:15-cv-1866 WBS DB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	GARY SWARTHOUT, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with a civil rights	
18	action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff alleges he fell in the shower several times due to a	
19	cement block impediment because the showers are not compliant with the Americans with	
20	Disabilities Act. Upon screening, the court found, among other things, that plaintiff failed to state	
21	a claim against defendant Jimenez. (See Mar. 24, 2017 Order (ECF No. 15).) The court gave	
22	plaintiff thirty days to elect whether to proceed against some defendants or amend his complaint	
23	to attempt to state a cognizable claim against Jimenez. On April 11, 2017, plaintiff filed a Notice	
24	of Submission of Documents in which he chose to proceed on his first amended complaint, serve	
25	defendants Arnold, Blackwell, Matteson, and Swarthout, and dismiss defendant Jimenez without	
26	prejudice. (ECF No. 16.)	
27	////	
28	////	1
		1

from this action without prejudice. These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Petitioner is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may result in waiver of the right to appeal the district court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE DLB1/prisoner-civil rights/prad1866.fr