1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	BRENT LEE HARDING,	No. 2:15-cv-1927 JAM CKD P
11	Plaintiff,	
12	v.	ORDER SETTING
13	RIO COSUMNES CORRECTIONAL FACILITY, et al.,	SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE
14	Defendants.	
15	Defendants.	
16	Plaintiff is California prisoner proceeding pro se with an action for violation of civil rights	
17	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The parties have requested that this case be set for a settlement	
18	conference before the undersigned to occur at the U.S. District Court, 501 I Street, Sacramento,	
19	California 95814 in Courtroom #24 on March 13, 2017 at 9:30 a.m.	
20	To accommodate the parties' request for a settlement conference before the undersigned,	
21	the parties will be required to file a signed "Waiver of Disqualification" (attached below), no later	
22	than March 6, 2017.	
23	A separate order and writ of habeas corpus ad testificandum will issue concurrently with	
24	this order.	
25	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
26	1. This case is set for a settlement conference before the undersigned to occur on March	
27	13, 2017, at 9:30 a.m. at the U. S. District Court, 501 I Street, Sacramento, California	
28		1

- 2. The parties are required to file a signed "Waiver of Disqualification" no later than March 6, 2017.
- 3. The parties are instructed to have a principal with full settlement authority present at the Settlement Conference or to be fully authorized to settle the matter on any terms. The individual with full authority to settle must also have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the settlement position of the party, if appropriate. The purpose behind requiring the attendance of a person with full settlement authority is that the parties' view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. An authorization to settle for a limited dollar amount or sum certain can be found not to comply with the requirement of full authority to settle.
- 4. The parties are directed to submit confidential settlement statements no later than March 6, 2017 to ckdorders@caed.uscourts.gov. Plaintiff shall mail his confidential settlement statement Attn: Magistrate Judge Carolyn K. Delaney, USDC CAED, 501 I Street, Suite 4-200, Sacramento, California 95814 so it arrives no later than March 6, 2017. The envelope shall be marked "CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT." If a party desires to share additional confidential information with the Court, they may do so pursuant to the provisions of Local Rule 270(d) and (e). Parties are also directed to file a "Notice of Submission of

 1 While the exercise of its authority is subject to abuse of discretion review, "the district court has the

authority to order parties, including the federal government, to participate in mandatory settlement

conferences...." United States v. United States District Court for the Northern Mariana Islands, 694 F.3d 1051,

1053, 1057, 1059 (9^{th} Cir. 2012)("the district court has broad authority to compel participation in mandatory settlement conference[s]."). The term "full authority to settle" means that the individuals attending the

mediation conference must be authorized to fully explore settlement options and to agree at that time to any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. G. Heileman Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp., 871 F.2d 648,

653 (7th Cir. 1989), cited with approval in Official Airline Guides, Inc. v. Goss, 6 F.3d 1385, 1396 (9th Cir. 1993). The individual with full authority to settle must also have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the

settlement position of the party, if appropriate. <u>Pitman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc.</u>, 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86 (D. Ariz. 2003), <u>amended on recon. in part</u>, <u>Pitman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc.</u>, 2003 WL 23353478 (D. Ariz. 2003). The

purpose behind requiring the attendance of a person with full settlement authority is that the parties' view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. <u>Pitman</u>, 216 F.R.D. at 486. An authorization to

settle for a limited dollar amount or sum certain can be found not to comply with the requirement of full

1	Confidential Settlement Statement" (See L.R. 270(d)).		
2			
3	Settlement statements should not be filed with the Clerk of the Court nor served on		
4	any other party. Settlement statements shall be clearly marked "confidential" with		
5	the date and time of the settlement conference indicated prominently thereon.		
6			
7	The confidential settlement statement shall be no longer than five pages in length,		
8	typed or neatly printed, and include the following:		
9			
10	a. A brief statement of the facts of the case.		
11	b. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon		
12	which the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of		
13	prevailing on the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in		
14	dispute.		
15	c. A summary of the proceedings to date.		
16	d. An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial, and		
17	trial.		
18	e. The relief sought.		
19	f. The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a		
20	history of past settlement discussions, offers, and demands.		
21	g. A brief statement of each party's expectations and goals for the settlement		
22	conference.		
23	Dated: January 4, 2017 Carop U. Delany		
24 25	CAROLYN K. DELANEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
26			
27			
28	3		

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	BRENT LEE HARDING,	No. 2:15-cv-1927 JAM CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	WAIVER OF DISQUALIFICATION
14	RIO COSUMNES CORRECTIONAL FACILITY, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16	2 0.0.10.11.00.	
17		
18	Under Local Rule 270(b) of the Eastern District of California, the parties to the	
19	herein action affirmatively request that Magistrate Judge Carolyn K. Delaney participate in the	
20	settlement conference scheduled for March 13, 2017. To the extent the parties consent to trial of	
21	the case before the assigned Magistrate Judge, they waive any claim of disqualification to the	
22	assigned Magistrate Judge trying the case thereafter.	
23		By: Plaintiff
24		Dated:
25		By:
26		Attorney for Defendants
27		Dated:
28		4