1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	G.H.,	No. 2:15-cv-1946 CKD	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	V.	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE	
14	UNITED STATES OFAMERICA, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	This action was filed on September 14	4, 2015. Although a petition for appointment of	
18	guardian ad litem was filed concurrently with the complaint, a guardian ad litem has not yet been		
19	approved. By order filed September 1, 2016, plaintiff's counsel was directed to propose an		
20	alternative guardian ad litem within fourteen days. The court noted that the proposed guardian ad		
21	litem, Alejandro Hernandez, did not appear to be able to properly represent the interests of the		
22	plaintiff. Belatedly, on September 26, 2016, plaintiff's counsel submitted a petition for		
23	appointment of guardian ad litem, again proposing Alejandro Hernandez as the guardian ad litem.		
24	The court finds that the proposed guardian has made an inadequate showing of competency to		
25	represent the interest of the minor plaintiff. The court also notes that, as has been ongoing for		
26	almost a year, the proposed guardian indicates an intent to dismiss this case but no proposed		
27	dismissal has been filed.		
28	/////		
		1	

1	This matter may not proceed without appointment of a guardian ad litem and it appears		
2	that counsel's efforts, if any, to secure an alternative guardian ad litem have been unavailing.		
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that no later than October 14, 2016, plaintiff		
4	shall show cause why this action should not be dismissed with prejudice for failure to prosecute.		
5	Dated: September 30, 2016	Caroh II. Delann	
6		CAROLYN K. DELANEY	
7		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
8	4 gh-usa1946.osc		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		2	
	11	2	