1		
1		
2 3		
3 4		
4		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11		
12	TAMARA EVANS,	Case No. 2:15-cv-01951-MCE-DB
13	Plaintiff,	ORDER
14	v.	
15	CALIFORNIA COMMISSION ON PEACE	
16	OFFICERS STANDARDS AND TRAINING; EDMUND PECINOVSKY;	
17	ANNE BREWER and DOES 1-25,	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20	Presently before the Court is an ex parte request for an extension of time to file a late	
21	opposition to the motion for summary judgment by Defendants California Commission on Peace	
22	Officer Standards and Training, Edmund Pecinovsky, and Anne Brewer ("Defendants"). Given	
23	the candid averments by counsel in her latest submission to the Court as to her shortcomings in	
24	handling the briefing of this case, and the Court's preference for resolving cases such as this one	
25	on the merits, the requested relief is, on this showing, GRANTED. The Court notes for the record	
26	that it is the most persuaded by the fact that counsel fell on her sword multiple times as to	
27	mistakes she admittedly and regrettably made and by her request that her clients not be made to	
28	suffer from these transgressions.	
		1

Order (2:15-cv-01951-MCE-DB)

1	Counsel is nonetheless admonished that this Court's scheduling orders govern these	
2	proceedings and supersede the Local Rules where they differ. The Court will not entertain future	
3	requests for extension under circumstances such as these and expects no such requests will be	
4	forthcoming.	
5	Finally, the Court has also reviewed and considered Plaintiff's response to Defendants' Ex	
6	Parte Application, by which Plaintiff does not oppose the requested extension of time, but does	
7	request additional time to retain an expert witness on the issues subject to the currently unopposed	
8	Motion for Summary Judgment. The current deadline for doing so is August 22, 2017, and	
9	preparation by this date is not feasible given the delays over the past several weeks. Accordingly,	
10	it is hereby ordered as follows:	
11	1. Defendants' Ex Parte Application for an Extension of Time (ECF No. 63) is	
12	GRANTED, and their Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment	
13	filed August 10, 2017, is deemed timely filed.	
14	2. Plaintiff's Reply, if any, shall be filed not later than August 31, 2017.	
15	3. This case shall remain submitted and if the Court subsequently determines oral	
16	argument is necessary, it will be scheduled at a later date.	
17	4. The deadline to designate expert witnesses is hereby CONTINUED to September	
18	27, 2017.	
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
20	Dated: August 14, 2017	
21	Molan CE. 1.	
22	MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	
	-	