1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	STEVEN RAY RITCHIE, No. 2:15-cv-1998-CMK PS
12	Plaintiff,
13	vs. <u>ORDER</u>
14	COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,
15 16	/
17	Plaintiff, who is proceeding in propria persona, brings this action for judicial
18	review of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).
19	On May 16, 2017, the court granted plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed in
20	forma pauperis. That order required plaintiff to submit to the United States Marshal, within 15
21	days of the date of service of the order, a completed summons and copies of the complaint, and
22	file a statement with the court that said documents have been submitted. Plaintiff was warned
23	that failure to comply may result in dismissal of this action for lack of prosecution and failure to
24	comply with court rules and orders. See Local Rule 110. More than 15 days have elapsed and
25	plaintiff has not complied.
26	///
	1

1

In addition, on May 26, 2017, mail directed to plaintiff was returned by the United States Postal Service as undeliverable. Pursuant to Eastern District of California Local Rule 183(b), any party appearing pro se must file and serve a notice of change of address within 63 days of mail being returned. To date, more than 63 days have elapsed since mail was returned and plaintiff has not notified the court of a change of address.

Plaintiff shall show cause, in writing, within 30 days of the date of this order, why this action should not be dismissed for failure to submit service documents to the United States Marshall and failure to file notice of change of address. Plaintiff is again warned that failure to respond to this order may result in dismissal of the action for the reasons outlined above, as well as for failure to prosecute and comply with court rules and orders. See Local Rules 110 and 183(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: October 13, 2017

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE