1

| 2 | |
|----|---|
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 10 | |
| 11 | NINOSKA CALDERA, No. 2:15-CV-2031-CMK |
| 12 | Plaintiff, |
| 13 | vs. <u>ORDER</u> |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY, |
| 15 | Defendant. |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | Plaintiff, who is proceeding with retained counsel, brings this action under |
| 19 | 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for judicial review of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security. |
| 20 | On October 29, 2015, the court granted plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. |
| 21 | That order required plaintiff to submit to the United States Marshal, within 15 days of the date of |
| 22 | service of the order, a completed summons and copies of the complaint, and file a statement with |
| 23 | the court that said documents have been submitted. Plaintiff was warned that failure to comply |
| 24 | may result in dismissal of this action for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with court |
| 25 | rules and orders. See Local Rule 110. As of January 20, 2016, plaintiff had not complied and |
| 26 | the court directed plaintiff to show cause why this action should not be dismissed. |
| | 1 |

1

| 1 | A review of the docket reflects that defendant has been served. Good cause |
|----------|---|
| 2 | appearing therefor, the January 20, 2016, order to show cause is hereby discharged. |
| 3 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | DATED: June 8, 2016 |
| 7 | CRAIG M. KELLISON |
| 8 | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 18 | |
| 10 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| | 2 |
| | |