

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOSEPH P. BECKER,
Plaintiff,
v.
TODD RIEBE et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:15-cv-2039 DAD P

ORDER AND
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se. Plaintiff seeks relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and has requested leave to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

SCREENING REQUIREMENT

The court is required to screen complaints brought by prisoners seeking relief against a governmental entity or an officer or employee of a governmental entity. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The court must dismiss a complaint or portion thereof if the prisoner has raised claims that are legally “frivolous or malicious,” that fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or that seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1) & (2).

A claim is legally frivolous when it lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact. Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989); Franklin v. Murphy, 745 F.2d 1221, 1227-28 (9th

1 Cir. 1984). The court may, therefore, dismiss a claim as frivolous where it is based on an
2 indisputably meritless legal theory or where the factual contentions are clearly baseless. Neitzke,
3 490 U.S. at 327. The critical inquiry is whether a constitutional claim, however inartfully
4 pleaded, has an arguable legal and factual basis. See Jackson v. Arizona, 885 F.2d 639, 640 (9th
5 Cir. 1989); Franklin, 745 F.2d at 1227.

6 Rule 8(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure “requires only ‘a short and plain
7 statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief,’ in order to ‘give the
8 defendant fair notice of what the . . . claim is and the grounds upon which it rests.’” Bell Atlantic
9 Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007) (quoting Conley v. Gibson, 355 U.S. 41, 47 (1957)).
10 However, in order to survive dismissal for failure to state a claim a complaint must contain more
11 than “a formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action;” it must contain factual
12 allegations sufficient “to raise a right to relief above the speculative level.” Bell Atlantic, 550
13 U.S. at 555. In reviewing a complaint under this standard, the court must accept as true the
14 allegations of the complaint in question, Hospital Bldg. Co. v. Rex Hospital Trustees, 425 U.S.
15 738, 740 (1976), construe the pleading in the light most favorable to the plaintiff, and resolve all
16 doubts in the plaintiff’s favor. Jenkins v. McKeithen, 395 U.S. 411, 421 (1969).

17 The Civil Rights Act under which this action was filed provides as follows:

18 Every person who, under color of [state law] . . . subjects, or causes
19 to be subjected, any citizen of the United States . . . to the
20 deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the
21 Constitution . . . shall be liable to the party injured in an action at
22 law, suit in equity, or other proper proceeding for redress.

23 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The statute requires that there be an actual connection or link between the
24 actions of the defendants and the deprivation alleged to have been suffered by plaintiff. See
25 Monell v. Department of Social Servs., 436 U.S. 658 (1978); Rizzo v. Goode, 423 U.S. 362
26 (1976). “A person ‘subjects’ another to the deprivation of a constitutional right, within the
27 meaning of § 1983, if he does an affirmative act, participates in another's affirmative acts or
28 omits to perform an act which he is legally required to do that causes the deprivation of which
complaint is made.” Johnson v. Duffy, 588 F.2d 740, 743 (9th Cir. 1978).

//////

