

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DENNIS GARDNER,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
SIX FLAGS DISCOVERY KINGDOM, et  
al.,  
  
Defendants.

No. 2:15-cv-2085-EFB P

ORDER AND FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS

Plaintiff is a county inmate proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

On March 15, 2017, the court dismissed the complaint with leave to amend. ECF No. 4. That order informed plaintiff of the deficiencies in his complaint and directed him to file an amended complaint within thirty days. ECF No. 4. The court also warned plaintiff that failure to comply with the order would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. *Id.* The time for acting has passed and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court’s order.

A party’s failure to comply with any order or with the Local Rules “may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the

1 inherent power of the Court.” E.D. Cal. Local Rule 110. The court may dismiss an action with or  
2 without prejudice, as appropriate, if a party disobeys an order or the Local Rules. *See Ferdik v.*  
3 *Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1263 (9th Cir. 1992) (district court did not abuse discretion in  
4 dismissing pro se plaintiff’s complaint for failing to obey an order to re-file an amended  
5 complaint to comply with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure); *Carey v. King*, 856 F.2d 1439,  
6 1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988) (dismissal for pro se plaintiff’s failure to comply with local rule  
7 regarding notice of change of address affirmed).

8 Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that the Clerk is directed to randomly assign a  
9 United States District Judge to this case.

10 Further, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that this action be DISMISSED without  
11 prejudice. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); E. D. Cal. Local Rule 110 .

12 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge  
13 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days  
14 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written  
15 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned  
16 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Any response to the  
17 objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The  
18 parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to  
19 appeal the District Court’s order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez*  
20 *v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

21 Dated: May 3, 2017.

22   
23 EDMUND F. BRENNAN  
24 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
25  
26  
27  
28