

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LUPE LANDIN, JR. and EDUARDO MURILLO,

 Plaintiffs,

 v.

COMCAST CORPORATION and
COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,

 Defendants.

No. 1:15-cv-01416-TLN-SKO

NOTICE OF RELATED CASE ORDER

CORY BARRETT HALL,

 Plaintiff,

 v.

COMCAST CORPORATION and
COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,

 Defendants.

No. 1:15-cv-01484-TLN-SAB

FRANCISCO FLORES and ANTHONY BUSTOS-CABRERA,

 Plaintiffs,

 v.

COMCAST CORPORATION and
COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,

 Defendants.

No. 1:15-cv-01521-DAD-SKO

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

JOSEPH JOSHUA DAVIS, et al.

Plaintiffs,

v.

COMCAST CORPORATION and
COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,

Defendants.

No. 1:15-cv-01544-DAD-SAB

JAMES K. GRIMES and CARLOS
RAMOS,

Plaintiffs,

v.

COMCAST CORPORATION and
COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,

Defendants.

No. 1:15-cv-01596-KJM-BAM

STEPHANIE MCBRIDE, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

COMCAST CORPORATION and
COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,

Defendants.

No. 2:15-cv-01965 KJN-EFB

LAWRENCE ELKINS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

COMCAST CORPORATION and
COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,

Defendants.

No. 2:15-cv-02075-TLN-CMK

HERNAN PAEZ, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

COMCAST CORPORATION and
COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC.,

No. 2:15-cv-02149-KJM-AC

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Defendants.
KRIS COOK, et al., Plaintiffs, v. COMCAST CORPORATION and COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC., Defendants.
KEVIN HUFFMAN, et al., Plaintiffs, v. COMCAST CORPORATION and COMCAST OF CONTRA COSTA, INC., Defendants.

No. 2:15-cv-02152-TLN-KJN

No. 2:15-cv-02186-WBS-KJN

Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that they are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123(a) (E.D. Cal. 1997). The actions involve the same parties, are based on the same claims, the same event, the same questions of fact and the same questions of law, and would therefore entail a substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges. Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge is likely to affect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for the parties.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the actions denominated 1:15-cv-01484-TLN-SAB, 1:15-cv-01521-DAD-SKO, 1:15-cv-1544-DAD-SAB, 1:15-cv-1596-KJM-BAM, 2:15-cv-1965-KJM-EFB, 2:15-cv-2075-TLN-CMK, 2:15-cv-2149-KJM-AC, 2:15-cv-2152-TLN-KJN, and 2:15-cv-2186-WBS-KJN are hereby reassigned to District Judge Troy L. Nunley and Magistrate Judge Sheila K. Oberto for all further proceedings. Any dates currently set in the reassigned cases are hereby VACATED. Henceforth, the captions on documents filed in the reassigned cases shall be shown as: 1:15-cv-01484-TLN-SKO, 1:15-cv-01521-TLN-SKO, 1:15-cv-1544-TLN-SKO, 1:15-cv-1596-TLN-SKO, 2:15-cv-1965-TLN-SKO,

1 2:15-cv-2075-TLN-SKO, 2:15-cv-2149-TLN-SKO, 2:15-cv-2152-TLN-SKO, and 2:15-cv-2186-
2 TLN-SKO.


3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court make appropriate adjustment
4 in the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment and issue.

5

6 Dated: January 15, 2016

7

8



9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Troy L. Nunley
United States District Judge