1	Erik Stanley (Arizona Bar No. 030961)*			
2	Kevin Theriot (Arizona Bar No. 030446)* Jeremiah Galus (Arizona Bar No. 030469)*			
3	Alliance Defending Freedom 15100 N. 90 th Street			
4	Scottsdale, AZ 85260 (480) 444-0020			
5	estanley@ADFlegal.org ktheriot@ADFlegal.org			
6	jgalus@ADFlegal.org			
7	Alexander M. Medina (California Bar No. 222015) MEDINA McKELVEY LLP			
8	983 Reserve Drive Roseville, CA 95678			
9	(916) 960-2211 alex@medinamckelvey.com			
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs			
11	*Admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>			
12	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
13	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
14	SACRAMENTO DIVISION			
15				
16	FOOTHILL CHURCH, CALVARY	2:15-CV-02165-KJM-EFB		
17	CHAPEL OF CHINO HILLS, and SHEPHERD OF THE HILLS CHURCH,	2.13 C V 02103 KSW EI B		
18	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO		
19	v.	MODIFY SCHEDULING ORDER		
20				
21	MICHELLE ROUILLARD, in her official capacity as Director of the California	Dept: Courtroom 3, 15th Fl. Judge: Hon. Kimberly J. Mueller		
22	Department of Managed Health Care,	110111 1111110 1111 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		
23	Defendant.			
24		1		
25				
26				
27				
28				
	Stipulation to Modify Scheduling Order (CV-02165-KJM-EFB); Order			

Plaintiffs Foothill Church, Calvary Chapel Chino Hills, and Shepherd of the Hills Church, and Defendant Michelle Rouillard, in her official capacity as Director of the California Department of Managed Health Care (Director), by and through their attorneys of record, stipulate and apply to modify the Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Order consistent with the provision of the Scheduling Order that intended discovery to proceed after a ruling on the Director's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint, which has not yet been issued by the Court.

In support of this application, the parties state that WHEREAS:

- 1. The Court has granted the Director's motions to dismiss Plaintiffs' initial Complaint and First Amended Complaint, with leave to amend (ECF Nos. 39 and 68);
 - 2. Plaintiffs filed a Second Amended Complaint on October 23, 2017 (ECF No. 72);
- 3. The Director filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint (Motion to Dismiss) on December 6, 2017, and a hearing on that motion was scheduled for February 9, 2018;
- 4. On February 2, 2018, the Court vacated the February 9, 2018 hearing and deemed the Motion to Dismiss submitted without argument (ECF No. 80);
- 5. The parties have made initial disclosures and served written discovery. Under the current scheduling order, responses to written discovery are due June 1, 2018. (*See* ECF No. 74 at ¶ 5).
- 6. The parties agree that, consistent with the Court's previous orders continuing discovery and other pretrial deadlines while the Director's earlier motions to dismiss remained pending (*see* ECF Nos. 63, 65, 67, and 74), it would be efficient and economical for both the Court and parties to further modify the scheduling order so that service of responses to written discovery and other discovery need not proceed until after the Court rules on the Director's motion to dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint;
- 7. Consistent with the above, the parties agree that if the case schedule is modified as requested below, the deadlines for responses to pending written discovery may be extended until 90 days before the deadline for the close of discovery, and that they will not pursue further

1 discovery until after the Court's ruling on the Director's Motion to Dismiss or 120 days before 2 the close of discovery, whichever is earlier; 3 WHEREFORE, the parties STIPULATE that: 1. In light of the above, good cause exists to modify the case schedule to extend the time 4 5 for discovery and to adjust other case deadlines accordingly. 6 2. The previous Order amending the Scheduling Order (ECF No. 74) shall, upon the 7 Court's order, be modified as follows: 8 **Existing Date New Date Description** 9 (See ECF No. 74) **Discovery Cutoff** August 31, 2018 March 1, 2019 10 Expert Disclosures September 14, 2018 March 15, 2019 11 Supplemental Expert Disclosures October 30, 2018 April 29, 2019 12 Completion of Expert Discovery November 30, 2018 May 31, 2019 13 Hearing on Dispositive Motions January 11, 2019 July 12, 2019 14 File Joint Pretrial Conference Statement Vacated. To be reset after Vacated. To be reset after court resolves any court resolves any Final Pretrial Conference 15 dispositive motions. dispositive motions. Trial Briefs Due 16 Trial 17 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 18 Respectfully submitted, ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM Dated: May 22, 2018 19 20 21 /s/ Jeremiah Galus JEREMIAH GALUS (admitted pro hac vice) 22 ALLIANCE DEFENDING FREEDOM 15100 N. 90th Street 23 Scottsdale, AZ 85260 (480) 444-0020 24 igalus@ADFlegal.org Attorneys for Plaintiffs Foothill Church, Calvary 25 Chapel Chino Hills, and Shepherd of the Hills Church 26 27 28 2

Stipulation to Modify Scheduling Order (2:15-CV-02165-KJM-EFB); Order

1		SECERRA General of California		
2	SUSAN M Supervisi	. CARSON ng Deputy Attorney General		
3 4				
5		a Sondheimer (as authorized on 5/21/18)		
6	Joshua N	I. SONDHEIMER		
7	Attorneys her officia	ttorney General for Defendant Michelle Rouillard, in al capacity as Director of the a Department of Managed Health		
8	California Care	a Department of Managed Health		
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14 15				
16				
17				
18	3			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
2627				
28				
20	3			
	Stipulation to Modify Scheduling Order (2:15-CV-02165-KJM-EFB); Order			

ORDER

Upon stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing, the previous Order amending the Scheduling Order (ECF No. 74) shall be modified as follows:

Description	Existing Date (See ECF No. 74)	New Date
Discovery Cutoff	August 31, 2018	March 1, 2019
Expert Disclosures	September 14, 2018	March 15, 2019
Rebuttal Expert Disclosures	October 30, 2018	April 29, 2019
Completion of Expert Discovery	November 30, 2018	May 31, 2019
Hearing on Dispositive Motions	January 11, 2019	July 12, 2019 at 10:00 a.m.
File Joint Pretrial Conference Statement Final Pretrial Conference Trial Briefs Due Trial	Vacated. To be reset after court resolves any dispositive motions.	Vacated. To be reset after court resolves any dispositive motions.

This amendment does not alter any other portions of the initial scheduling order (ECF No. 51).

IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED: May 31, 2018.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE