

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 PERCY LEE RHODES,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 FRESNO COUNTY, et al.,

15 Defendants.  
16

No. 2:15-cv-2220 DAD P (TEMP)

ORDER

17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42  
18 U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis. In his complaint, plaintiff  
19 alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants. The alleged violations took place in Fresno  
20 County, which is part of the Fresno Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern  
21 District of California. See Local Rule 120(d).

22 Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper  
23 division of a court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper division of the  
24 court. Therefore, this action will be transferred to the Fresno Division of the court. In light of the  
25 1996 amendments to 28 U.S.C. § 1915, this court will not rule on plaintiff's request to proceed in  
26 forma pauperis.

27 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 28 1. This court has not ruled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis;

2. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California sitting in Fresno;

3. All future filings shall reference the new Fresno case number assigned and shall be filed at:

United States District Court  
Eastern District of California  
2500 Tulare Street  
Fresno, CA 93721

Dated: November 10, 2015

  
KENDALL J. NEWMAN  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

/rhod2220.22