

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

----oo0oo----

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ex rel.
BRIAN MARKUS, individually,

Relator,

v.

AEROJET ROCKETDYNE HOLDINGS,
INC., a corporation, and AEROJET
ROCKETDYNE, INC., a corporation,

Defendants.

No. 2:15-cv-02245

ORDER

----oo0oo----

The parties have filed a joint stipulation regarding sealing procedures in connection with any summary judgment filings. The parties have stipulated to a procedure whereby any summary judgment materials would be filed initially under seal and then if the party wished for the materials to remain under seal, they would then file a notice of request to seal documents, a request to seal documents, a proposed order, and the materials covered by the request ten calendar days after the hearing date

1 for any summary judgment motions. Materials would then be
2 unsealed if not included in the later-filed requests to seal.

3 However, this procedure does not comply with Local Rule
4 141's requirement that "[d]ocuments may be sealed only by written
5 order of the court, upon the showing required by applicable law."
6 In other words, no document may be filed under seal, or redacted,
7 without a showing that the specific document, or certain contents
8 within, should be redacted or filed under seal. Accordingly, the
9 court rejects the parties' stipulation without prejudice. All
10 requests to seal shall follow the procedure set forth in Local
11 Rule 141.

12 IT IS SO ORDERED

13 Dated: September 8, 2021



14 **WILLIAM B. SHUBB**
15 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28