1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ALLEN HAMMLER,	No. 2:15-cv-2266 JAM AC P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	HAAS, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with this civil rights	
18	action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The case is set for trial before the assigned U.S.	
19	District Judge.	
20	On March 28, 2022, plaintiff filed a motion to attend the trial. ECF No. 116. At the time	
21	this request was submitted, trial was scheduled to begin on May 2, 2022. ECF No. 113.	
22	On April 4, 2022, the district judge vacated the May date and reset the trial for November	
23	7, 2022. ECF No. 117. Because the trial is now more than six months away, and the court cannot	
24	know what state or local COVID-19 pandemic protocols may be in place at that time.	
25	Accordingly, the appropriateness of in-person appearances cannot be determined at this time.	
26	In any event, a motion to attend the trial is not necessary. Several weeks before the trial	
27	date, the court will determine the manner in which plaintiff will attend the trial— either via	
28	videoconference or in person—and the approp	priate writ will issue ordering his production.
		1

Dockets.Justia.com

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion to attend the upcoming
2	trial in person (ECF No. 116) is DENIED.
3	DATED: April 22, 2022
4	Allison Clane
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
24 25	
23 26	
20 27	
28	
20	2