1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 5 6 ALLEN HAMMLER, No. 2:15-cv-2266 JAM AC P 7 Plaintiff, 8 **ORDER** v. 9 HAAS, et al., 10 Defendants. 11 12 On April 11, 2019, plaintiff filed a motion for reconsideration of the magistrate judge's order filed March 28, 2019, which denied plaintiff's motion for this court's intervention under the 13 14 All Writs Act. See ECF Nos. 76-7, 79. Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule 303(f), a magistrate judge's 15 orders shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." Id. Upon review of the 16 entire file, the court finds that the magistrate judge's ruling was neither clearly erroneous nor 17 contrary to law. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED upon reconsideration, that: 18 19 1. The order of the magistrate judge filed March 28, 2019, ECF No. 77, is affirmed; and 20 2. Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration, ECF No. 79, is denied. 21 DATED: April 17, 2019 22 John A. Mendez 23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 24 25 26 27 28