

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JEROME CHAMBERS,
Plaintiff,
v.
JEROME PRICE, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2: 15-cv-2274 KJN P

ORDER AND FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS

By an order filed May 5, 2017, this court ordered plaintiff to complete and return to the court, within thirty days, the USM-285 forms necessary to effect service on defendant Valadez. (ECF No. 25.) That thirty day period has since passed, and plaintiff has not responded in any way to the court’s order. Accordingly, defendant Valadez should be dismissed.

The Clerk of the Court is directed to assign a district judge to this action. (See ECF No. 4 (plaintiff’s decline to proceed before a U.S. Magistrate Judge).)


Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall assign a district judge to this action; and

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that defendant Valadez be dismissed.

These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections

1 with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Findings and
2 Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time
3 may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th
4 Cir. 1991).

5 Dated: June 21, 2017

6 
7 _____
KENDALL J. NEWMAN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8
9 Cham2274.fusm
10 kc

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28