26

27

28

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plaintiff filed "objections" on June 10, 2016 and June 20, 2016. The filings appear to be identical.

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(a), a party may object to a magistrate judge's ruling on a pretrial matter within fourteen days of service of the magistrate judge's order. The district court may set aside all or part of that order that is clearly erroneous or contrary to law. See also E.D. Cal. R. 303. Because Plaintiff filed his objections more than fourteen days after service of the Magistrate Judge's order, they are untimely. In addition, the Court finds the Magistrate Judge's May 11, 2016 order is neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law.

## Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's objections filed June 10 and June 20, 2016 (ECF Nos. 22 and 23) are denied; and
- 2. Within thirty (30) days of the date of this order, Plaintiff must file an amended complaint that complies with the May 11, 2016, order if he wishes to proceed with this action. Plaintiff is warned that his failure to file a proper amended complaint in a timely manner will result in dismissal of this action.

Dated: December 26, 2016

Troy L. Nunley

United States District Judge