UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
DANIEL WEBSTER WRIGHT,	No. 2:15-cv-2291-KJM-EFB P
Plaintiff,	
v.	ORDER
D. FIELDS, et al.,	
Defendants.	
Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42	
U.S.C. § 1983. Defendants moved for summary judgment on May 19, 2016. ECF No. 20. On	
June 20, 2016, the court reminded plaintiff of	f his obligation to file an opposition to the motion
and granted him an additional 21 days in which to file his response. ECF No. 22. When he failed	
to timely file an opposition, findings and reco	ommendations were issued on July 27, 2016,
recommending that this action be dismissed f	for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with
court orders. ECF No. 24. Since then, plaint	iff has filed multiple requests for extensions of time
and for appointment of counsel. See ECF No.	os. 25, 27, 33, 35. Those extension requests were
granted and the court also mailed plaintiff a c	courtesy copy of defendants' motion. ECF Nos. 28,
34. Plaintiff now requests a further extension of time to file his opposition and once again moves	
for appointment of counsel. ECF Nos. 37, 38. For the reasons set forth in the court's previous	
orders, see ECF Nos. 26, 36, plaintiff's reque	est for appointment of counsel is denied. As
	FOR THE EASTERN DANIEL WEBSTER WRIGHT, Plaintiff, v. D. FIELDS, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding U.S.C. § 1983. Defendants moved for summ June 20, 2016, the court reminded plaintiff of and granted him an additional 21 days in whi to timely file an opposition, findings and reco recommending that this action be dismissed for court orders. ECF No. 24. Since then, plaint and for appointment of counsel. <i>See</i> ECF No. granted and the court also mailed plaintiff a co 34. Plaintiff now requests a further extension for appointment of counsel. ECF Nos. 37, 38

1	defendants' motion for summary judgment has been now pending for more than six months
2	without a response from plaintiff, the court will grant him one final extension of time to file an
3	opposition. No further extensions of time will be granted. Should plaintiff fail to timely file an
4	opposition, the July 27, 2016 findings and recommendations will be submitted to the district
5	judge for consideration.
6	Accordingly, it is hereby ordered that:
7	1. Plaintiff's request (ECF Nos. 37, 38) is granted and plaintiff has 30 days from the
8	date this order is served to file his opposition to defendants' motion for summary
9	judgment; and
10	2. Plaintiff's request for appointment of counsel (ECF No. 38) is denied.
11	So ordered.
12	Dated: December 7, 2016.
13	EDMUND F. BRÈNNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2