1		
1		
2 3		
3 4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DAMIEN LEE DAVIS,	No. 2:15-cv-2379 TLN CKD P
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	F FOULK,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner commenced this action by filing a motion for extension of time. (ECF No. 1.)	
18	By order filed November 25, 2015, petitioner was granted thirty days to file a petition that	
19	complied with federal pleading requirements, or face dismissal of this action. The thirty-day	
20	period has now expired, and petitioner has not filed a petition or otherwise responded to the	
21	court's order.	
22	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without	
23	prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
24	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
25	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
26	after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written	
27	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's	
28	Findings and Recommendations." Petitioner	is advised that failure to file objections within the
		1

1	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
2	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
3	Dated: January 5, 2016 Carop U. Delany
4	CAROLYN K. DELANEY
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	2/davi2379.fta.hab
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
25 26	
26 27	
27 28	
20	2