

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CYNTHIA J. CROKER,

Plaintiff,

v.

ANDREW SAUL, Commissioner of Social
Security,

Defendant.

No. 2:15-cv-2423-EFB

ORDER

Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b), counsel for plaintiff in the above-entitled action seeks an award of attorney fees in the amount of \$16,704.75, which is 25 percent of past benefits due to plaintiff. ECF No. 19. Plaintiff entered into a retainer agreement with his attorney which provides that he would pay counsel 25 percent of any past-due benefits won as a result of the appeal in this case. ECF No. 21-1 at 2. Counsel spent 30.2 professional hours on plaintiff's case. ECF No. 21-1 at 4.

42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1)(A) provides, in relevant part:

Whenever a court renders a judgment favorable to a claimant under this subchapter who was represented before the court by an attorney, the court may determine and allow as part of its judgment a reasonable fee for such representation, not in excess of 25 percent of the total of the past-due benefits to which the claimant is entitled by reason of such judgment.

////

1 Rather than being paid by the government, fees under the Social Security Act are awarded
2 out of the claimant’s disability benefits. *Russell v. Sullivan*, 930 F.2d 1443, 1446 (9th Cir. 1991),
3 *receded from on other grounds, Sorenson v. Mink*, 239 F.3d 1140, 1149 (9th Cir. 1991).

4 However, the 25 percent statutory maximum fee is not an automatic entitlement; the court also
5 must ensure that the requested fee is reasonable. *Bisbrecht v. Barnhart*, 535 U.S. 789, 808-09
6 (2002) (“We hold that § 406(b) does not displace contingent-fee agreements within the statutory
7 ceiling; instead, § 406(b) instructs courts to review for reasonableness fees yielded by those
8 agreements.”). “Within the 25 percent boundary . . . the attorney for the successful claimant must
9 show that the fee sought is reasonable for the services rendered.” *Id.* at 807. A “court may
10 properly reduce the fee for substandard performance, delay, or benefits that are not in proportion
11 to the time spent on the case.” *Crawford v. Astrue*, 586 F.3d 1142, 1151 (9th Cir. 2009) (en
12 banc).

13 After this court remanded for further proceedings, plaintiff was found disabled and
14 awarded past-due benefits. ECF No. 21-1 at 6-10. The Social Security Administration withheld
15 \$16,704.75, which was 25 percent of plaintiff’s past due benefits, to pay his attorney. *Id.*
16 Plaintiff’s counsel’s request for \$16,704.75, which is the statutory maximum, would constitute an
17 hourly rate of \$533.14. Counsel did not delay these procedures, and his representation of plaintiff
18 was not substandard. Indeed, he successfully represented his client’s interests before this court.
19 Based on the risk of loss taken in representing plaintiff, counsel’s experience in the field of Social
20 Security law, and the results achieved in this case, the court finds that fee request is reasonable.
21 *See De Vivo v. Berryhill*, No. 1:15-cv-1332-EPG, 2018 WL 4262007 (E.D. Cal. Sept. 6, 2018)
22 (awarding fees at effective hourly range of \$1,116.26); *Jamieson v. Astrue*, No. 1:09cv0490 LJO
23 DLB, 2011 WL 587096 (E.D. Cal. Feb. 9, 2011) (finding fee at effective hourly rate of \$1,169.49
24 reasonable); *Naddour v. Colvin*, No. 13-CV-1407-BAS, 2016 WL 4248557 (S.D. Cal. Aug. 11,
25 2016) (awarding fee at effective hourly rate of \$1,063); *Kazanjian v. Astrue*, No. 09 civ. 3678
26 (BMC), 2011 WL 2847439, at *1-2 (E.D.N.Y. July 15, 2011) (finding that counsel “performed
27 well, diligently, and with unusual efficiency,” and awarding fee at hourly rate of \$2,100).

28 //////

1 Counsel concedes that the \$16,704.75 award should be offset in the amount of \$5,600 for
2 fees previously awarded under the Equal Access to Justice Act (“EAJA”). ECF No. 19 at 2.
3 Accordingly, counsel will be granted \$11,104.75 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b). *See Gisbrecht*
4 *v. Barnhart*, 535 U.S. 789, 796 (2002) (holding that where attorney’s fees are awarded under both
5 EAJA and § 406(b), the attorney must refund the smaller of the two awards to the plaintiff).

6 Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that:

- 7 1. Plaintiff’s counsel’s motion for attorney’s fees (ECF No. 19) is granted; and
- 8 2. Plaintiff’s counsel is awarded \$11,104.75 in fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b).

9 DATED: April 21, 2020.

10 

11 EDMUND F. BRENNAN
12 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28