| 1 | | |
|----|---|-----------------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | DANIEL GONZALEZ, | No. 2:15-cv-2448-TLN-KJN PS |
| 12 | 77. 1. 100 | |
| 13 | Plaintiff, | <u>ORDER</u> |
| 14 | V. | |
| 15 | KYLE THOMAS JONES, et al. | |
| 16 | Defendants. | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | Presently pending before the court is plaintiff's ex parte application for leave to file | |
| 19 | electronically. (ECF No. 143.) | |
| 20 | Generally, "any person appearing pro se may not utilize electronic filing except with the | |
| 21 | permission of the assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge." <u>See</u> E.D. Cal. L.R. 133(b)(2). Plaintiff's | |
| 22 | application here only makes vague references to changes in plaintiff's living and medical | |
| 23 | circumstances. Additionally, given plaintiff's history of voluminous filings, the court has grave | |
| 24 | concerns about granting plaintiff electronic filing privileges. | |
| 25 | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's ex parte application for leave to | |
| 26 | file electronically is DENIED. This order resolves ECF No. 143. | |
| 27 | Dated: April 23, 2019 | |
| | | 1) 1 1 |

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

28