1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DANIEL E. GONZALEZ,	No. 2:15-cv-2448 GEB GGH PS
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER
14	DEPARTMENT (BUREAU) OF REAL ESTATE, et al.,	
15 16	Defendants.	
17		
18	Plaintiff is proceeding in this action in pro per and in forma pauperis. The matter was	
19	referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21).	
20	On October 12, 2016, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein	
21	which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to	
22	the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. ECF No. 20. Plaintiff	
23	has filed objections to the findings and recommendations. ECF No. 25.	
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this	
25	court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the	
26	court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper	
27	analysis.	
28	////	
		1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed October 12, 2016, are adopted in full; and
3	2. Plaintiff's "ex Parte application for a stay or temporary injunction on a pending state
4	action," filed September 19, 2016, (ECF No. 16) is denied.
5	Dated: November 16, 2016
6	
7	Suble E. Fundly
8	GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR. Senior United States District Judge
9	Senior onited States District oudge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2