

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DANIEL E. GONZALEZ
Plaintiff,
v.
DEPARTMENT (BUREAU) OF REAL
ESTATE, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:15-cv-2448 GEB GGH

STATUS (PRETRIAL SCHEDULING)
ORDER

The parties have filed a joint statement regarding scheduling. Accordingly, the court makes the following findings and orders:

SERVICE OF PROCESS

All defendants have been served and no further service is permitted except with leave of court, good cause having been shown.

JOINDER OF PARTIES/AMENDMENTS

No further joinder of parties or amendments to pleadings is permitted except with leave of court, good cause having been shown.

JURISDICTION/VENUE

Jurisdiction is undisputed and is hereby found to be proper, as is venue.

MOTION HEARING SCHEDULES

Counsel are cautioned to refer to the local rules regarding the requirements for noticing

1 such motions on the court’s regularly scheduled law and motion calendar. The parties should
2 keep in mind that the purpose of law and motion is to narrow and refine the legal issues raised by
3 the case, and to dispose of by pretrial motion those issues that are susceptible to resolution
4 without trial. To accomplish that purpose, the parties need to identify and fully research the
5 issues presented by the case, and then examine those issues in light of the evidence gleaned
6 through discovery. If it appears to counsel after examining the legal issues and facts that an issue
7 can be resolved by pretrial motion, counsel are to file the appropriate motion by the law and
8 motion cutoff set forth supra.

9 ALL PURELY LEGAL ISSUES ARE TO BE RESOLVED BY TIMELY PRETRIAL
10 MOTION. Counsel are reminded that motions in limine are procedural devices designed to
11 address the admissibility of evidence. COUNSEL ARE CAUTIONED THAT THE COURT
12 WILL LOOK WITH DISFAVOR UPON SUBSTANTIVE MOTIONS PRESENTED IN THE
13 GUISE OF MOTIONS IN LIMINE AT THE TIME OF TRIAL.

14 DISCOVERY

15 All discovery is left open, save and except that it shall be so conducted as to be completed
16 by June 7, 2018. The word “completed” means that all discovery shall have been conducted so
17 that all depositions have been taken and any disputes relative to discovery shall have been
18 resolved by appropriate order if necessary and, where discovery has been ordered, the order has
19 been complied with. Motions to compel discovery must be noticed on the undersigned’s calendar
20 in accordance with the local rules of this court and so that such motions will be heard not later
21 than June 1, 2018.

22 This paragraph does not preclude motions for continuances, temporary restraining orders
23 or other emergency applications, and is subject to any special scheduling set forth in the
24 “MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS” paragraph below.

25 EXPERT DISCLOSURE

26 All counsel (and/or pro se parties) are to designate in writing and file with the court, and
27 serve upon all other parties, the names of all experts that they propose to tender at trial not later
28 than April 5, 2018. Simultaneous designation of any supplemental/rebuttal experts is due no later

1 than April 15, 2018. An expert witness not appearing on said lists will not be permitted to testify
2 unless the party offering the witness demonstrates: (a) that the necessity of the witness could not
3 have been reasonably anticipated at the time the lists were exchanged; (b) the court and opposing
4 counsel were promptly notified upon discovery of the witness; and (c) that the witness was
5 promptly proffered for deposition. Failure to provide the information required along with the
6 expert designation may lead to preclusion of the expert's testimony or other appropriate
7 sanctions.

8 For the purposes of this scheduling order, experts are defined as "percipient" and
9 designated experts. Both types of experts shall be listed. Percipient experts are persons who,
10 because of their expertise, have rendered expert opinions in the normal course of their work
11 duties or observations pertinent to the issues in the case. Another term for their opinions are
12 "historical opinions." Percipient experts are experts who, unless also designated as retained
13 experts, are limited to testifying to their historical opinions and the reasons for them. That is,
14 they may be asked to testify to their opinions given in the past and the whys and wherefores
15 concerning the development of that opinion. However, they may not be asked to render a current
16 opinion for the purposes of the litigation.

17 Retained experts, who may be percipient experts as well, are specifically designated by a
18 party to be a testifying expert for the purposes of the litigation. The retained Rule 26 expert may
19 express opinions formed for the purposes of the litigation.¹ A party designating a retained expert
20

21 ¹ Retained experts may, or may not, be paid for their services. The critical distinction between
22 percipient and retained experts is that the retained expert will have gathered information during
23 the course of the litigation *for the purpose of rendering an opinion on a disputed fact in the*
24 *litigation.* Percipient experts are limited to the information available at the time their historical
25 opinions were given. For example, a physician whose only contact with the litigation the was the
26 treatment of a party prior to the commencement of litigation, or even after commencement, and
27 whose only purpose was to treat the party, is a percipient expert. This doctor may have issued an
28 opinion in the medical records, but he is not retained for the purpose of the litigation. However,
that same doctor, if asked by a party to render an opinion for the purpose of litigation, over and
above any historically rendered opinions, is a retained expert. See Goodman v. Staples The
Office Superstore LLC, 644 F.3d 817 (9th Cir. 2011) (holding percipient treating physician who
transforms into expert witness must comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2), and clarifying when
such transformation takes place).

1 will be assumed to have acquired the express permission of the witness to be so listed.²

2 The parties shall comply with the information disclosure provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26
3 (a)(2) (B) for any expert, who is in whole or in part designated as a retained expert. This
4 information is due at the time of designation. Failure to supply the required information may
5 result in the striking of the retained expert. No reports are necessary for purely percipient experts.
6 Retained experts are to be fully prepared to render an informed opinion at the time of designation
7 so that they may fully participate in any deposition taken by the opposing party. Retained experts
8 will not be permitted to testify at trial as to any information gathered or evaluated, or opinion
9 formed, which should have been reasonably available at the time of designation. The court will
10 closely scrutinize for discovery abuse deposition opinions which differ markedly in nature and/or
11 in bases from those expressed in the mandatory information disclosure.

12 FINAL PRETRIAL STATEMENTS AND CONFERENCE

13 The Final Pretrial Conference is set in courtroom 10 of the Honorable Garland E. Burrell
14 on November 25, 2018 at 1:30 p.m. Counsel are cautioned that counsel appearing for Pretrial will
15 in fact try the matter.

16 All parties are to be fully prepared for trial at the time of the Pretrial Conference, with no
17 matters remaining to be accomplished except production of witnesses for oral testimony. Counsel
18 are referred to Local Rules 281 and 282 relating to the contents of and time for filing Pretrial
19 Statements. A FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH LOCAL RULES 281 AND 282 WILL BE
20 GROUNDS FOR SANCTIONS.

21 Notwithstanding the provisions of Local Rule 281, which contemplates the filing of
22 separate Pretrial Statements by plaintiffs and defendants, the parties are to prepare a JOINT
23 STATEMENT with respect to the undisputed facts and disputed factual issues of the case. See
24 Local Rule 281(b)(3), (4), and (6). The undisputed facts and disputed factual issues are to be set
25 forth in two separate sections. The parties should identify those facts which are relevant to each
26 separate cause of action. In this regard, the parties are to number each individual fact or factual

27 ² The court is not interested in a designation of non-testifying Rule 26 experts, i.e., non-testifying
28 consultants.

1 issue. Where the parties are unable to agree as to what factual issues are properly before the court
2 for trial, they should nevertheless list in the section on “DISPUTED FACTUAL ISSUES” all
3 issues asserted by any of the parties and explain by parenthetical the controversy concerning each
4 issue. The parties should keep in mind that, in general, each fact should relate or correspond to an
5 element of the relevant cause of action. The parties should also keep in mind that the purpose of
6 listing the disputed factual issues is to apprise the court and all parties about the precise issues
7 that will be litigated at trial. The court is not interested in a listing of all evidentiary facts
8 underlying the issues that are in dispute.³ The joint statement of undisputed facts and disputed
9 factual issues is to be filed with the court concurrently with the filing of plaintiff’s Pretrial
10 Statement. If the case is tried to a jury, the undisputed facts will be read to the jury.

11 Pursuant to Local Rule 281(b)(10) and (11), the parties are required to provide in their
12 Pretrial Statements a list of witnesses and exhibits that they propose to proffer at trial, no matter
13 for what purpose. These lists shall not be contained in the Pretrial Statement itself, but shall be
14 attached as separate documents to be used as addenda to the Final Pretrial Order. Plaintiff’s
15 exhibits shall be listed numerically; defendant’s exhibits shall be listed alphabetically. The
16 Pretrial Order will contain a stringent standard for the proffering of witnesses and exhibits at trial
17 not listed in the Pretrial Order. Counsel are cautioned that the standard will be strictly applied.
18 On the other hand, the listing of exhibits or witnesses which counsel do not intend to call or use
19 will be viewed as an abuse of the court’s processes.

20 Counsel are also reminded that, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16, it will be their duty at the
21 Pretrial Conference to aid the court in (a) formulation and simplification of issues and the

22
23 ³ For example, and simplistically, if the claim to be adjudicated involved a traffic accident, the
24 disputed factual issues might be: whether defendant negligently drove his vehicle through the
25 intersection by reason of failing to observe traffic signals; whether such negligence caused the
26 accident involving plaintiff, whether plaintiff’s actions (being distracted) contributed to the
27 accident; whether plaintiff suffered injury and damages as a result of the accident [perhaps
28 breaking out specific injuries and damages]. It would be inappropriate and unhelpful to list
myriad evidentiary facts in dispute— whether the light had turned yellow at the time defendant’s
vehicle approached the intersection, whether defendant’s skid marks were 30 feet long, whether
plaintiff was distracted by use of a cell phone, and so forth. However, with respect to the listing of
undisputed facts, the court will accept agreements as to evidentiary facts.

1 elimination of frivolous claims or defenses; (b) settling of facts which should be properly
2 admitted; and (c) the avoidance of unnecessary proof and cumulative evidence. Counsel must
3 prepare their Pretrial Statements, and participate in good faith at the Pretrial Conference, with
4 these aims in mind. A FAILURE TO DO SO MAY RESULT IN THE IMPOSITION OF
5 SANCTIONS which may include monetary sanctions, orders precluding proof, eliminations of
6 claims or defenses, or such other sanctions as the court deems appropriate.

7 TRIAL SETTING

8 Trial is set for January 22, 2019 at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom No. 10 before the Honorable
9 Garland E. Burrell. Trial will be by jury. The court expects the trial will take approximately 7 to
10 10 days.

11 SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

12 A Settlement Conference will be set at the time of the Pretrial Conference.

13 MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

14 There appear to be no other matters presently pending before the court that will aid the
15 just and expeditious disposition of this matter.

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b), THIS COURT SUMMARIZES THE SCHEDULING
18 ORDER AS FOLLOWS:

19 1. The parties may conduct discovery until June 7, 2018. Motions to compel
20 discovery are to be noticed to be heard by June 1, 2018, as more specifically described in this
21 order.

22 2. The parties shall disclose experts, as described herein, by April 3, 2018 and April
23 15, 2018.

24 3. All pretrial motions, except motions to compel discovery, shall be completed as
25 described herein on or before July 9, 2018.⁴

26 4. Pretrial Conference (as described in Local Rule 282) is set in this case for

27 _____
28 ⁴ All motions, including motions for summary judgment, are to be noticed for hearing before the undersigned in Court 9 at 10:00 a.m.

1 November 26, 2018 at 1:30 p.m. Pretrial statements shall be filed in accord with Local Rules 281
2 and 282.

3 5. This matter is set for jury trial on January 22, 2019, at 9:00 a.m.

4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5 Dated: August 5, 2017

6 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28