1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 WILLIAM LIPPS, No. 2:15-cv-02485 GEB AC P 12 Plaintiff. 13 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS v. 14 SUTTER AMADOR HOSPITAL, et. al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42 18 U.S.C. § 1983. On May 15, 2017, the court dismissed plaintiff's complaint (ECF No. 1) after 19 finding that it did not state a cognizable federal claim. ECF No. 9. The court gave plaintiff an 20 opportunity to amend, and ordered that any amended complaint be filed within thirty days. Id. at 21 5. The thirty day deadline has elapsed and plaintiff has not filed either an amended complaint or 22 a request for an extension of time. He was warned that failure to comply with the court's order 23 could result in dismissal of this action. Id. at 6. The district court has authority to dismiss a pro 24 se plaintiff's action for failure to prosecute. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); Link v. Wabash R. Co., 370 25 U.S. 626, 629 (1962). 26 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed, without 27 prejudice, for lack of prosecution and for failure to comply with the court's order. 28 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 1

1	assigned to this case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen (14)
2	days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
3	objections with the court. Such document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
4	Findings and Recommendations." Local Rule 304(b). Plaintiff is advised that failure to file
5	objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.
6	Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
7	DATED: June 17, 2017
8	Allison Claire Allison Claire
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	