

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KELLY V. KIMBLE,

Petitioner,

vs.

WARREN L. MONTGOMERY, Warden,
Calipatria State Prison,

Respondent.

No. 2:15-cv-02488-JKS

MEMORANDUM DECISION

This Court denied Kelly V. Kimble, a California state prisoner proceeding *pro se*, habeas relief and a certificate of appealability (“COA”) on September 12, 2017. Docket Nos. 32, 33. Kelly filed a notice of appeal dated October 12, 2017, which was processed to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. Docket Nos. 34, 35. At Docket No. 37, Kelly now files a motion to proceed in forma pauperis (“IFP”) on appeal.

Although Kimble’s appeal is currently pending before the Ninth Circuit, “[t]he decision to allow an appeal to proceed in forma pauperis remains within the jurisdiction of the trial court after the filing of an appeal.” *Zambrano v. Gipson*, No. LA CV 15-01794, 2016 WL 4040649, at *1 (C.D. Cal. July 6, 2016) (citation omitted). A person may be granted permission to proceed in forma pauperis if the person “submits an affidavit that includes a statement of all assets such [person] possesses [and] that the person is unable to pay such fees or give security therefor. Such affidavit shall state the nature of the action, defense or appeal and affiant’s belief that the person is entitled to redress.” 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1); *Lopez v. Smith*, 203 F.3d 1122, 1129 (9th Cir. 2000) (en banc) (stating that this provision applies to all actions filed in forma pauperis, not just prisoner actions). “[T]he supporting affidavits [must] state the facts as to [the] affiant’s

poverty with some particularity, definiteness and certainty.’” *United States v. McQuade*, 647 F.2d 938, 940 (9th Cir. 1981) (quoting *Jefferson v. United States*, 277 F.2d 823, 725 (9th Cir. 1960)).

In support of his application, Kimble submits a California Superior Court Form FW-001 Request to Waive Court Fees. Although he did not fully complete the form application, Kimble attaches to it a prison certificate and certified copy of his trust account statement which reflects that he is within the parameters necessary to grant an IFP application due to lack of assets. Accordingly, his application to proceed on appeal without the payment of a filing fee is granted.

The Court notes, however, that to proceed with his appeal, Kimble must apply for and receive a COA from the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. FED. R. APP. P. 22(b); 9th Cir. R. 22-1(d). The Ninth Circuit may issue a COA only if Kimble makes “a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.” 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); *Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 336 (2003). If Kimble fails to make such a showing, and the Ninth Circuit does not issue a COA in his case, the grant of IFP status from this Court would be inconsequential.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT the Motion for Leave to Proceed on Appeal in Forma Pauperis is **GRANTED**.

Dated: November 17, 2017.

 /s/James K. Singleton, Jr.
JAMES K. SINGLETON, JR.
Senior United States District Judge