1 2	XAVIER BECERRA, State Bar No. 118517 Attorney General of California PETER A. MESHOT, State Bar No. 117061			
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General PETER A. MESHOT, State Bar No. 117061			
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General 1300 I Street, Suite 125			
5	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550			
6	Telephone: (916) 322-2500 Fax: (916) 322-8288			
7	E-mail: Peter.Meshot@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Defendants Dr. Braun and Dr. Majumdar			
8			COUDT	
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11	SACRAMENTO DIVISION			
12				
13	NEXIS RENE GOMEZ,	2:15-cv-2523-	KJN (PC)	
14 15	Plaintiff,	ORDER MO SCHEDULIN	DIFYING DISCOVERY AND NG ORDER	
16 17	v. D. BRAUN, et al.,	Judge: Trial Date: Action Filed:	Hon. Kendall J. Newman Not Set February 25, 2016	
18	Defendants.			
19				
20	Defendants Dr. Braun and Dr. Majumdar moved this Court for an order a limited			
21	modification of the Court's Discovery and Scheduling Order of January 4, 2017 (ECF No. 34),			
22	including the dates/deadlines for conducting the depositions of Plaintiff and up to three non-			
23	expert witnessed whose identities plaintiff may establish in his deposition, and for filing			
24	discovery motions relative to those depositions. Plaintiff has not filed an opposition.			
25	"The district court is given broad discretion in supervising the pretrial phase of litigation."			
26	Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc., 975 F.2d 604, 607 (9th Cir. 1992) (citation and internal			
27	quotation marks omitted). Rule 16(b) provides that "[a] schedule may be modified only for good			
28	cause and with the judge's consent." Fed. R. Civ	v. P. 16(b)(4). '	'The schedule may be modified	

1				
2	'if it cannot reasonably be met despite the diligence of the party seeking the extension."			
	Zivkovic v. Southern California Edison Co., 302 F.3d 1080, 1087 (9th Cir. 2002) (quoting			
3	Johnson, 975 F.2d at 607).			
4	Defendants have shown good cause to continue the deadline for discovery based on the			
5	unexpected medical leave of former defense counsel. Defendants' request to extend the			
6	discovery deadline to June 14, 2017, is granted. In light of this extension, the dispositive motions			
7	deadline is continued to September 14, 2017. In all other respects, the January 4, 2017 discovery			
8	and scheduling order remains in effect.			
9	GOOD CAUSE HAVING BEEN SHOWN, Defendants' motion is granted as follows:			
10	 Plaintiff's deposition is to be completed by June 14, 2017; The depositions of up to three non-expert witnesses who plaintiff may identify in his 			
11				
12	deposition as having knowledge to support his claims are to be completed by June 14, 2017;			
13	3. Any discovery motion relative to the depositions listed above is to be filed by June 14,			
14	2017; and			
15	4. All pretrial motions, except motions to compel discovery, shall be filed on or before			
16	September 14, 2017.			
17				
18	Dated: May 11, 2017			
19	Fordall P. Newman			
20	/gome2523.16b KENDALL J. NEŴMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE			
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				