

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 SHAWN HAWK,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF
15 CORRECTIONS AND
REHABILITATION, et al.,

16 Defendants.
17

No. 2:15-cv-2529 KJM CKD P

ORDER

18 On January 14, 2016, plaintiff filed a document the court construes as a request for
19 reconsideration of the magistrate judge's December 30, 2015 order dismissing plaintiff's
20 complaint with leave to amend. Under E.D. Local Rule 303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall
21 be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." Upon review of the entire file, the court
22 finds that the magistrate judge's ruling is not clearly erroneous or contrary to law.

23 Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, upon reconsideration, the order of the
24 magistrate judge filed December 30, 2015, is affirmed. Plaintiff is granted fourteen days from the
25 date of this order to file his amended complaint. Failure to file an amended complaint within
26 fourteen days may result in dismissal.

27 DATED: March 18, 2016
28


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE