1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MARIA VARGAS, et al.,	No. 2:15-cv-2537 TLN CKD
12	Plaintiffs,	
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	COUNTY OF YOLO, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	The issue of disclosure of defendant Prieto's personnel file and the issue of expenses	
18	incurred in connection with plaintiff's motion to compel was submitted after further briefing.	
19	Upon <u>in camera</u> review of the personnel file and upon review of the parties' submissions	
20	regarding expenses and proposed protective orders, and good cause appearing therefor, THE	
21	COURT FINDS AND ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:	
22	1. Disclosure of the documents contained in defendant Prieto's personnel file is not	
23	warranted. The motion to compel production of said file is denied. In light of the court's finding	
24	that disclosure need not be made, the court declines to issue a protective order at this time.	
25	2. The court finds an award of expenses is warranted considering all of the circumstances	
26	reflected in the record before it on this motion	n, but not in the amount claimed by plaintiffs.
27	/////	
28	////	

1	Fed. R. Civ. P. 37 (a)(5)(A). Accordingly, plaintiffs are awarded \$1500 in expenses incurred in
2	connection with the motion to compel, defendants to pay said amount within fourteen days.
3	Dated: June 6, 2016
4	CAROLYN K. DELANEY
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	4 vargas2537.icr
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	