(HC) Fox v. Sherman Doc. 24

1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 MICHAEL ALLAHRAE FOX, No. 2:15-cv-2561 JAM GGH P 10 Petitioner, 11 **ORDER** v. 12 STU SHERMAN, 13 Respondent. 14 15 Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no absolute 16 right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d 453, 460 17 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage 18 of the case "if the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases. 19 In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be served by the 20 appointment of counsel at the present time. 21 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's request for appointment of 22 counsel (ECF No. 23) is denied without prejudice to a renewal of the motion at a later stage of the 23 proceedings. 24 Petitioner shall file his objections to the findings and recommendations (ECF No. 22), if 25 any, not later than May 12, 2017. 26 Dated: April 24, 2017 27 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 28