


1 defendants remain pending. In light of this procedural posture,
2 and because plaintiffs have not yet responded to the Bill of
3 Costs (perhaps due to the uncertain status of plaintiffs'
4 representation by counsel), the court, in its discretion, will
5 deny the Bill of Costs without prejudice to refiling after
6 judgment has been entered with respect to all claims and all
7 defendants.

8 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant City of West
9 Sacramento's Bill of Costs (docket no. 42) be, and the same
10 hereby is, DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE to refiling within fourteen
11 days after judgment is entered as to all claims and defendants in
12 this case.

13 Dated: August 18, 2017


14 **WILLIAM B. SHUBB**
15 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28