

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DANNY GEROME YOUNG,  
  
                                Plaintiff,  
  
                  v.  
  
RODRIGUEZ, et al.,  
  
                                Defendant.

No. 2:15-cv-02604-KJM-CKD

ORDER

On December 23, 2019, Defendants Gill, Rodriguez, Saephan and Lewis filed a motion to consent to conduct all further proceedings in this case before Magistrate Judge Carolyn K. Delaney. ECF No. 71. On January 6, 2020, plaintiff, filed a pro se motion objecting to defendants’ consent. ECF No. 72. Upon receipt of plaintiff’s motion, Magistrate Judge Delaney construed plaintiff’s motion as one to withdraw consent and held plaintiff’s consent is a valid question for this court. See ECF No. 74 (citing *Branch v. Umphenour*, 936 F. 3d 994, 1003 (9th Cir. 2019)); see also ECF No. 8 (plaintiff’s previous consent to magistrate judge jurisdiction).

On January 24, 2020, this court appointed T. Kennedy Helm, IV as counsel for plaintiff, with plaintiff’s agreement. ECF No. 76. Plaintiff filed his pro se motion before the appointment of counsel and the court has not ruled on it. Good cause appearing, the court will deny plaintiff’s pro se motion without prejudice. The court grants counsel for plaintiff fourteen days from the date of this order to renew the motion to withdraw consent. Should counsel not renew plaintiff’s

1 motion within the time provided by this order, under Local Rule 72-302(c)(21), this matter will be  
2 referred to Magistrate Judge Delaney for all further proceedings in accordance with 28 U.S.C §  
3 636(c).

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 DATED: April 20, 2020.

6  
7   
8 \_\_\_\_\_  
9 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28