## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 TOMMY R. HARPER, No. 2:15-cv-2606 TLN KJN P 12 Plaintiff. 13 FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS v. 14 SACRAMENTO COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 Plaintiff is a pretrial detainee, proceeding without counsel. By order filed January 4, 19 2017, plaintiff's amended complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to file an amended 20 complaint was granted. Thirty days from that date have now passed, and plaintiff has not filed an 21 amended complaint, or otherwise responded to the court's order. 22 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). 23 24 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days 25 26 after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections 27 with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned

"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that

28

1	failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the Distric
2	Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
3	Dated: February 22, 2017
4	Fordal J. Newman
5	KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	/harp2606.fta2
8	
9	
10	
11	
12 13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	