1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ANDRE POWE,	No. 2:15-cv-02639-GEB-GGH
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	MARTIN D. BITER,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner brings this habeas corpus petition in pro se and in forma pauperis. The matter	
18	was referred to the Magistrate Judge pursuant to Eastern District of California Local Rule	
19	302(c)(17).	
20	On January 4, 2018 the Magistrate issued Findings and Recommendations recommending	
21	that petitioner's Motion for Reconsideration, ECF No. 25, to revisit earlier Findings and	
22	Recommendations pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 52(b) should be denied and	
23	granted petitioner 14 days from the date of the Order to file any objections. ECF No. 21. In that	
24	document the Magistrate informed petitioner that a failure to object could result in a waiver of his	
25	right to appeal the District Court's Order. No objections have been received.	
26	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304,	
27	the District Judge has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having reviewed the file, the	
28	Magistrate Judge's findings and recommendations the court ADOPTS the findings and	

1	recommendations in full.
2	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: Petitioner's Motion for Reconsideration is
3	DENIED;
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	Dated: January 23, 2018
6	
7	Subst E. Runell
8	GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR. Senior United States District Judge
9	benier onited baces bisties saage
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	