

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DANNY GEROME YOUNG,
Plaintiff,
v.
MUHAMMAD QURESHI, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2: 15-cv-2674 JAM KJN P

ORDER

Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel, with a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. For the reasons stated herein, plaintiff is ordered to show cause why this action should not be dismissed as moot.


This action proceeds on the amended complaint filed February 16, 2016. (ECF No. 5.) Named as defendants are Dr. Qureshi, Dr. Singh, Jackie Clark, N. Atienza and A. Abu. All defendants are located at the California Health Care Facility (“CHCF”) in Stockton, California. Plaintiff was incarcerated at the CHCF when he filed the amended complaint. Defendants Qureshi, Singh, Atienza and Abu have filed a summary judgment motion. (ECF No. 44.) Defendant Clark has not yet been served with process.

In the amended complaint, plaintiff alleges that he received inadequate medical care while housed at the CHCF. In the amended complaint, plaintiff seeks injunctive relief only (i.e. no monetary damages). (See ECF No. 5 at 8-11.)

1 Plaintiff is now housed at the California Medical Facility (“CMF”). (ECF No. 48.) When
2 an inmate seeks injunctive or declaratory relief concerning the prison where he is incarcerated, his
3 claims for such relief become moot when he is no longer subjected to those conditions. See
4 Weinstein v. Bradford, 423 U.S. 147, 149 (1975); Dilley v. Gunn, 64 F.3d 1365, 1368-69 (9th
5 Cir. 1995). Accordingly, plaintiff is ordered to show cause why this action should not be
6 dismissed as moot.¹

7 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within fourteen days of the date of this
8 order, plaintiff shall show cause why this action should not be dismissed as moot.

9 Dated: November 29, 2018

10 
11 _____
12 KENDALL J. NEWMAN
13 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 _____
28 ¹ Defendants’ summary judgment motion addresses the merits of plaintiff’s claims. (See ECF
No. 44.)