

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

KING MWASI,

No. 2:15-CV-2685-TLN-CMK-P

Plaintiff,

vs.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

SACRAMENTO PRISON, et al.,

Defendants.

_____ /

Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

On February 10, 2017, the court directed plaintiff to file an amended complaint within 30 days. Plaintiff was warned that failure to file an amended complaint may result in dismissal of this action for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with court rules and orders. See Local Rule 110. To date, plaintiff has not complied, despite having been granted three extensions of time (see orders at Docs. 13, 15, and 17).

///
///
///

1 The court must weigh five factors before imposing the harsh sanction of
2 dismissal. See Bautista v. Los Angeles County, 216 F.3d 837, 841 (9th Cir. 2000); Malone v.
3 U.S. Postal Service, 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987). Those factors are: (1) the public's
4 interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to manage its own docket; (3)
5 the risk of prejudice to opposing parties; (4) the public policy favoring disposition of cases on
6 their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic sanctions. See id.; see also Ghazali v. Moran,
7 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (per curiam). A warning that the action may be dismissed as an
8 appropriate sanction is considered a less drastic alternative sufficient to satisfy the last factor.
9 See Malone, 833 F.2d at 132-33 & n.1. The sanction of dismissal for lack of prosecution is
10 appropriate where there has been unreasonable delay. See Henderson v. Duncan, 779 F.2d 1421,
11 1423 (9th Cir. 1986). Dismissal has also been held to be an appropriate sanction for failure to
12 comply with an order to file an amended complaint. See Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258,
13 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992).

14 Having considered these factors, and in light of plaintiff's failure to file an
15 amended complaint as directed, the court finds that dismissal of this action is appropriate.

16 ///

17 ///

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

1 Based on the foregoing, the undersigned recommends that this action be
2 dismissed, without prejudice, for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with court rules and
3 orders.

4 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
5 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within 14 days
6 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
7 objections with the court. Responses to objections shall be filed within 14 days after service of
8 objections. Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal.
9 See Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

10
11 DATED: March 22, 2018

12 
13 **CRAIG M. KELLISON**
14 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26