

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SEAVON PIERCE,
Plaintiff,
v.
SACRAMENTO BUSINESS JOURNAL,
et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:15-cv-2690 GEB KJN P

ORDER

Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding pro se. Plaintiff seeks relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Plaintiff seeks leave to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. Review of court records reveals that on at least three occasions lawsuits filed by the plaintiff have been dismissed on the grounds that they were frivolous or malicious or failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, as set forth below:

Pierce v. California State, CV 12-9211 UA (CW) (C.D. Cal.), November 20, 2012, dismissed as frivolous, malicious or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. Id., ECF No. 5.

Pierce v. Gonzales, 1:10-cv-025 JLT (E.D. Cal.), December 3, 2012, dismissed for failure to state a claim. Id., ECF No. 27.

