1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JULIUS ANDERSON,	No. 2:16-cv-0018 TLN CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER
14	CALIFORNIA MEDICAL FACILITY, SOLANO, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has requested	
18	appointment of counsel. The court cannot <i>require</i> an attorney to represent a plaintiff who cannot	
19	pay for the attorney's services. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989).	
20	However, under the federal in forma pauperis statute, 28 U.S.C. § 1915, the court may request	
21	that an attorney represent a person unable to afford counsel. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). The court	
22	will make that request only when there are ex	cceptional circumstances. When determining
23	whether "exceptional circumstances" exist, th	ne court considers, among other things, plaintiff's
24	likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro	
25	se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. <u>Palmer v. Valdez</u> , 560 F.3d 965, 970	
26	(9th Cir. 2009). While the court is aware of the difficulties attendant to litigating an action while	
27	incarcerated, circumstances common to most prisoners do not establish "exceptional	
28	circumstances."	
		1

1	In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances at this	
2	stage of these proceedings.	
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for appointment of	
4	counsel (ECF No. 23) is denied without prejudice.	
5	Dated: July 21, 2016 Carop U. Delany	
6	CAROLYN K. DELANEY	
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
8		
9		
10	1 ande0018.mfc(2)	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	