

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

-----oo0oo-----

JEROME WALKER,

 Plaintiff,

 v.

MCCLLOUD COMMUNITY SERVICES
DISTRICT,

 Defendant.

Civ. No. 2:16-61 WBS CMK

ORDER RE: EX PARTE
APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER
MODIFYING THE SCHEDULING
ORDER

-----oo0oo-----

 Before the court is defendant's ex parte application for an order modifying the court's scheduling order to extend the discovery and law and motion deadlines and reset the trial date. (Docket No. 17.) The application is opposed by plaintiff. (Docket No. 18.) Having reviewed defendant's application, the court finds that defendant has not shown good cause to modify the scheduling order. Even assuming the parties had informally agreed to modify the scheduling order, the parties never agreed on any new proposed deadlines, and such agreement was not brought

1 to the court's attention until now, on the eve of the Pretrial
2 Conference, which was set almost ten months ago. Moreover, the
3 application was filed well after the discovery and dispositive
4 motion deadlines had past. Accordingly, the ex parte application
5 is DENIED.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 Dated: February 16, 2017



8 WILLIAM B. SHUBB
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28