

1 F. Richard Ruderman (SB No. 142226)  
rick@rudermanknox.com  
2 Colleen A. Snyder (SB No. 274064)  
colleen@rudermanknox.com  
3 Ruderman & Knox, LLP  
1300 National Drive, Suite 120  
4 Sacramento, CA 95834  
Telephone: (916) 563-0100  
5 Facsimile: (916) 563-0114

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
7  
8  
9

10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
11 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

13 JAY T. and ANNE M.-T., individually, and as  
guardian ad litem for M.M.-T.,

14 Plaintiffs,

15 vs.

16 SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL  
17 DISTRICT,

18 Defendant.

CASE NO: 2:16-cv-00136-MCE-AC

**STIPULATED REQUEST FOR  
CONTINUANCE OF BRIEFING AND  
HEARING SCHEDULE;  
ORDER THEREON**

**Courtroom: 7, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Judge: Hon. Morrison C. England, Jr.**

**Action Filed: January 21, 2016**

19  
20 Pursuant to Local Rules 143 and 144, Plaintiffs Jay T., Anne M.-T., individually, and as  
21 guardians ad litem for M.M.-T. ("Plaintiffs"), by and through their undersigned counsel, and  
22 Defendant Sacramento City Unified School District ("District"), by and through its undersigned  
23 counsel, hereby stipulate, subject to approval of the Court, to the following:

- 24 1) On May 16, 2016, the parties agreed, via stipulation, to the following briefing and  
25 hearing schedule:
- 26 • Plaintiffs' Opening Memorandum to be filed on or before July 22, 2016;
  - 27 • District's Opposition Memorandum to be filed on or before August 19, 2016;
  - 28 • Plaintiff's Reply Brief to be filed within fourteen days of filing of Opposition

STIPULATED REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE  
OF BRIEFING AND HEARING SCHEDULE;  
ORDER THEREON

JAY T., et al. v. SCUUSD  
Case No. 2:16-cv-00136-MCE-AC  
Page 1

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Brief;

- Hearing on September 22, 2016.

2) On May 17, 2016, the Court ordered the briefing and hearing schedule as proposed by the parties.

3) Because of unforeseen work obligations, and a delay in receiving the administrative record, counsel for Plaintiffs requested, and counsel for Defendant agreed, to continue the briefing and hearing schedule as follows:

- Plaintiffs’ Opening Memorandum in Support of an Award of Attorneys’ Fees (limited to 25 pages) and supporting papers, shall be filed on or before August 31, 2016;
- District’s Opposition Memorandum (limited to 25 pages) and supporting papers shall be filed on or before September 30, 2016;
- Plaintiffs’ Reply Memorandum (limited to 15 pages) and supporting papers shall be filed by no later than 14 days after the District’s Opposition Memorandum is filed;
- Hearing on November 17, 2016.

4) There have been no previous modifications to the proposed briefing and hearing schedule, either by stipulation or Court order.

5) Accordingly, the parties request approval for this modification of the schedule.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 11, 2016

**RUDERMAN & KNOX, LLP**

/s/ Colleen A. Snyder  
COLLEEN A. SNYDER  
Attorney for Plaintiffs Jay T. and Anne M.-T.,  
individually and as guardians ad litem for M.M.-T.

1 Dated: July 11, 2016

**LOZANO SMITH**

2  
3 /s/ Sloan Simmons  
4 SLOAN SIMMONS  
5 Attorney for Defendant Sacramento City Unified  
6 School District

7 **ORDER**

8 Based upon the foregoing Stipulation between Plaintiffs Jay T. and Anne M.-T.,  
9 individually, and as guardians ad litem for M.M.-T. (“Plaintiffs”) and Defendant Sacramento  
10 City Unified School District (“District”), and GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFORE:

11 The Court hereby orders the briefing and hearing schedule be continued in this action as follows:

- 12 1. Plaintiffs’ Opening Memorandum in Support of an Award of Attorneys’ Fees (limited to  
13 25 pages) and supporting papers, shall be filed on or before August 31, 2016;  
14 2. District’s Opposition Memorandum (limited to 25 pages) and supporting papers shall be  
15 filed on or before September 30, 2016;  
16 3. Plaintiffs’ Reply Memorandum (limited to 15 pages) and supporting papers shall be filed  
17 by no later than 14 days after the District’s Opposition Memorandum is filed; and  
18 4. A hearing is set for November 17, 2016, at 2:00 p.m. on the merits of the Motion.

19 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

20  
21 **Dated: July 12, 2016**

22   
23 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.  
24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE