1 2 3	Mark D. Nielsen, Esq., CA Bar No. 210,023 mark.nielsen@solidcounsel.com SCHEEF & STONE, LLP 2600 Network Boulevard, Suite 400 Frisco, Texas 75034 Telephone: (214) 472-2100	
4	Fax: (214) 472-2150	
5	- and -	
6	Daniel M. Cislo, Esq., CA Bar No. 125,378	
7	dan@cislo.com C. Wook Pak, Esq., CA Bar No. 244,780	
8	wpak@cislo.com CISLO & THOMAS LLP	
9	12100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1700 Los Angeles, California 90025	
10	Telephone: (310) 451-0647 Fax: (310) 394-4477	
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff, ADVANCED STEEL RECOVERY, LLC	
12	ROBERT M. HARKINS, JR. (SBN 179525)	
13	bobh@ruyakcherian.com	
14	RUYAKCHERIAN, LLP 1936 University Ave, Suite 350	
15	Berkeley, CA 94704 Telephone: (510) 944-0190	
16	Attorneys For Defendants, X-BODY EQUIPMENT, INC. AND JEWELL A	ATTACHMENTS, LLC
17	ANAMED OF A MEG	DICEDICE COURT
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
19	EASTERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
20	ADMANGED GEERL DEGOMEDY ALC	G N 016 00140 VD 6 DD
21	ADVANCED STEEL RECOVERY, LLC,) Case No. 2:16-cv-00148-KJM-JDF)
22	Plaintiff,) [Hon. Kimberly J. Mueller]
23	vs.	FED.R.EVID. 502 ORDER
24	X-BODY EQUIPMENT, INC., JEWELL () ATTACHMENTS, LLC, J.D.M.L., INC. dba ()	
25	STANDARD INDUSTRIES, and ALLSTATE) PAPER & METAL RECYCLING CO., INC.	
26	Defendants.))
27)))
28		

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

ORDER

Plaintiff, Advanced Steel Recovery, LLC ("Plaintiff" or "ASR") and Defendants X-Body Equipment, Inc. and Jewell Attachments, LLC ("Defendants"), by and through their respective counsel of record, have submitted a stipulation to the Court requesting the entry of an order pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 502.

Upon considering the parties' stipulation, and finding good cause for the requested relief, it is hereby ordered that:

The production of privileged or work-product protected documents, electronically stored information ("ESI") or information, whether inadvertent or otherwise, is not a waiver of the privilege or protection from discovery in this case or in any other federal or state proceeding. This Order shall be interpreted to provide the maximum protection allowed by Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 25, 2022.

CHIEF

F UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Fed.R.Evid. 502

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1