28

misnomer for GC Services.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 CRAIG D. ALLEN, No. 2:16-cv-0214 MCE GGH 12 Plaintiff, 13 **ORDER** v. 14 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, 15 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO. SACRAMENTO COUNTY SHERIFF 16 DEPARTMENT, and CG SERVICES, 17 Defendants. 18 The court held a hearing on defendant GC¹ Services Motion to Dismiss the Complaint. 19 20 Plaintiff, who is prosecuting pro se, appeared for plaintiff and attorney Renee Ohlendorf appeared 21 on behalf of GC Services. The basis of the Motion was that GC service was simply an entity to 22 which plaintiff's criminal fine debt had been referred, but that no charging allegations had been 23 made against this entity aside from the mere fact of referral. 24 It was disclosed in the course of the hearing that GC Services is a private contract 25 collection entity that performs services for the Sacramento County Superior Court upon request. 26 However, it was further disclosed that GC's responsibility for collection in connection with funds 27 It was made clear at the hearing that name CF Services, which appears in the caption, is a

allegedly owed the superior court by plaintiff has been terminated, and the Superior Court is now responsible for any further activities regarding the criminal fine, et al. owed. In light of the foregoing the parties stipulated on the record that GC Services would be dismissed from the action without objection. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Complaint against GC Services is dismissed. ECF # 21 is resolved. Dated: January 19, 2017 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE