1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 No. 2:16-cv-226-GEB-EFB P ANTHONY BOBADILLA, 12 Plaintiff, 13 V. ORDER 14 J. LIZARRAGA, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 On September 15, 2017, plaintiff filed a request for reconsideration of the magistrate 18 judge's order filed September 6, 2017, denying plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel. 19 Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule 303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld unless "clearly 20 erroneous or contrary to law." Id. Upon review of the entire file, the court finds that it does not 21 appear that the magistrate judge's ruling was clearly erroneous or contrary to law. 22 Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, upon reconsideration, the order of the 23 magistrate judge filed September 6, 2017, is affirmed and the Clerk of the Court shall terminate 24 docket number 22. Dated: October 5, 2017 25 26 27 28 States District Judge