(HC) Torres	v. Peery
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	JAIME TORRES, No. 2:16-CV-0385-CMK-P
12	Petitioner,
13	vs. <u>ORDER</u>
14	SUZANNE M. PEERY,
15	Respondent.
16	/
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this petition for a writ of
18	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On February 25, 2016, the court directed petitioner
19	to submit either a completed application for leave to proceed in forma pauperis or the full filing
20	fee for this action within 30 days. Petitioner was warned that failure to comply may result in
21	dismissal of this action for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with court rules and orders.
22	See Local Rule 110. To date, petitioner has failed to comply.
23	///
24	///
25	///
26	///
	1

Doc. 5

Petitioner shall show cause in writing, within 30 days of the date of this order, why this action should not be dismissed for failure to resolve the fee status for this case.

Petitioner is again warned that failure to respond to this order may result in dismissal of the action for the reasons outlined above, as well as for failure to prosecute and comply with court rules and orders. See id.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: May 12, 2016

CRAIG M. KELLISON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE